Providing health care to improve community perceptions of protected areas
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Abstract Impoverished communities often turn to illegal extraction of resources from protected areas to alleviate economic pressures or to make monetary gains. Such practices can cause ecological damage and threaten animal populations. These communities also often face a high disease burden and typically do not have access to affordable health care. Here we argue that these two seemingly separate challenges may have a common solution. In particular, providing health care to communities adjacent to protected areas may be an efficient and effective way to reduce the disease burden while also improving local perceptions about protected areas, potentially reducing illegal extraction. We present a case study of a health centre on the edge of Kibale National Park, Uganda. The centre has provided care to c. 7,200 people since 2008 and its outreach programme extends to c. 4,500 schoolchildren each year. Contrasting the provision of health care to other means of improving community perceptions of protected areas suggests that health clinics have potential as a conservation tool in some situations and should be considered in future efforts to manage protected areas.
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Introduction

Tropical forests support at least 60% of all known species (Laurance, 1999; Dirzo & Raven, 2003) yet represent only 7% of land area (Bradshaw et al., 2009). Despite their value as repositories of biodiversity it is estimated that 16 million ha of forest were lost globally each year during the 1990s (FAO, 2010), of which 12.5 million ha per year were lost in the tropics (Chapman & Peres, 2001; Omeja et al., 2012). The primary approach used to conserve forests has been the establishment of protected areas. However, such areas are vulnerable to anthropogenic pressures (Bruner et al., 2001). Protected areas are generally effective at preventing land clearing but are less effective at preventing logging, human-created fire, and bushmeat hunting (Bruner et al., 2001), which typically are initiated by members of nearby communities (West et al., 2006; Hartter & Goldman, 2011; Hartter et al., 2011). Thus, effective management plans may benefit from the inclusion of mechanisms for fostering positive relationships between protected areas and the people living nearby.

There is evidence that protected areas may exacerbate poverty and its manifestations, which can complicate the establishment of positive relationships between people and protected areas (de Sherbinin, 2008; Barrett et al., 2011). Manifestations of poverty may be related to health and exacerbated by the fact that parks are often remote. The potential role played by isolation from medical care is suggested by the fact that infant mortality rates are elevated in communities in the vicinity of large parks but not in communities in the vicinity of small parks (de Sherbinin, 2008; Ferraro et al., 2011; see Naughton-Treves et al., 2011, for evidence that parks may be beneficial to local people). Additionally, rural communities adjacent to protected areas often lack the revenue needed for medical services. For example, the adult employment rate in villages near Kibale National Park, Uganda, is only 22% (MacKenzie, 2012) and many of those employed spend their wages within a few days of being paid.

Nonetheless, access to health care is of critical importance, especially in poor communities that suffer high mortality rates from curable illnesses (Fink et al., 2011; Goldberg et al., 2012). For example, there are c. 515 million cases of malaria caused by Plasmodium falciparum worldwide (Snow et al., 2005) and it is estimated that a child dies of malaria every 40 seconds (Sachs & Malaney,
Taking into account underreporting and the difficulties of diagnosis (Ito et al., 2002) the actual number of cases reported may be 50–200% higher than these estimates (Snow et al., 2005). Similarly, diarrhoea, which may be caused by a number of infectious agents, is typically easy to prevent with adequate sanitation and hygiene yet it is the second most significant cause of death in children under the age of five, accounting for 15% of childhood deaths in 2008 (Fink et al., 2011).

Here we present a case study of the Kibale Health and Conservation Centre, a small health clinic established just inside Kibale National Park, Uganda, and consider whether providing health care may be a viable alternative to other common approaches for fostering positive relationships between local residents and protected areas.

**Provision of health care: Kibale Health and Conservation Centre, Uganda**

Kibale National Park (hereafter Kibale; 795 km²; Fig. 1) is a mid-altitude moist–evergreen forest, with mean annual rainfall of 1,691 mm (1990–2013; Chapman et al., 1999; Chapman & Lambert, 2000). It is an important site for long-term research and has a dynamic history of conservation (Chapman et al., 2005; Wrangham & Ross, 2008; Naughton-Treves et al., 2011). In the early days of the Park interactions with the local communities were often negative; illegal settlers were evicted and protection was strictly enforced (Chapman & Lambert, 2000; Ryan & Hartter, 2012). This changed in the mid 1990s after the Uganda Wildlife Authority was authorized to administer the area and governance became more participatory (UWA, 1997; MacKenzie, 2012). Now communities are permitted to engage in limited resource-extraction (MacKenzie et al., 2012). Communities benefit economically from the Park, receiving 20% of the entrance fees, for development projects (Archabald & Naughton-Treves, 2001; Hartter & Goldman, 2011), and up to 800 local people find seasonal employment there (Hartter, 2009). Long-term researchers have established community-based projects, such as the education-focused Kasiisi Project, which started in 1997 (Kasenene & Ross, 2008). Given the high population density around the Park (220–330 people per km²; Hartter, 2009), one motivation behind these efforts is to alleviate pressure on the Park from local communities.

In 2007 researchers and students from McGill University, Canada, established the Kibale Health and Conservation Centre (Plate 1), which provides free consultation and at-cost medication to the local community. During September 2008–September 2012 the centre provided direct health care for c. 7,200 patients, with patient visitation rates increasing steadily. Patients are treated on an outpatient basis for a wide range of health issues (Table 1),...
and testing, counselling and anti-retroviral therapy for HIV are also provided, circumventing lack of compliance in maintaining anti-retroviral therapy, which is one of the major reasons for the failure of antiretroviral drugs (Blower et al., 2005; Geng et al., 2010). In 2008 the clinic distributed 1,500 permethrin-impregnated bed nets supplied by the Buy-a-Net programme. Infant immunization clinics are held monthly and vitamin A supplements and anti-helminthic medications are administered biannually at six locations to children aged between 6 months and 5 years. The centre also provides outreach education to c. 4,500 school children per year, on topics including nutrition, sanitation, reproductive health and family planning, prevention of communicable diseases, and conservation (Plate 2).

A common criticism of conservation programmes that aid local communities and improve economic welfare is that they promote immigration and increase population growth near the protected area. For example, Struhsaker et al. (2005) estimated that 47% of tropical protected areas in Africa had densities exceeding 90 people per km² and that immigration was a problem in > 60% of these areas. Although this criticism also applies to health clinics, providing family planning may lower the birth rate and reduce the population density in the neighbouring communities in the next generation, thereby alleviating pressure on the protected area in the future (Harris et al., 2012). The centre provides reproductive health care and education, and recently Marie Stopes visited the clinic bimonthly and each time helped 60+ families. Of the patients attending the clinic for assistance with family planning, 99% were women and the majority (67%) were 25 years old. Of female patients, 27% received permanent treatments for birth control, such as ovarian-tube ligations, 64% received long-term birth control, such as intra-uterine devices or 3–5-year implants, and 9% received short-term treatment, such as 3-month injections or birth control pills. Recently students at McGill University donated 50,000 condoms, which may result in more men visiting the health centre.

The siting of the Kibale Health and Conservation Centre within the legal boundary of Kibale National Park was neither

Table 1 The major diagnoses made by the nurses and doctors at the Kibale Health and Conservation Centre in Kibale National Park, Uganda (Fig. 1), during September 2008–May 2010, with the total number of cases, the monthly mean, and the percentage of total diagnoses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Total no. of cases</th>
<th>Monthly mean</th>
<th>% of diagnoses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-pneumonia cough or cold</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastro-intestinal disorder</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin infection</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexually transmitted infection</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute diarrhoea</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear/nose/throat condition</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye condition</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Including, but not limited to, open cuts, burns, boils, abscesses, pyomyositis, and mast infections.
Provision of health care vs other approaches

Here we contrast the provision of health care to other approaches that attempt to foster community cooperation and reduce negative activities in protected areas. Ecotourism is the most widely employed strategy to promote positive relationships between people and protected areas and is used by governmental agencies such as the World Bank and the U.S. Agency for International Development, as well as non-governmental agencies, including the Wildlife Conservation Society (Coria & Calfucura, 2012). Ecotourism is often perceived as creating economic incentives that encourage local communities to protect biodiversity in neighbouring reserves (Bookbinder et al., 1998; Coria & Calfucura, 2012). Tourists benefit a country’s economy directly (e.g. through park entrance fees and airport taxes) and indirectly through in-country spending. In Uganda the revenue generated by tourism in 2012 is estimated to have been c. USD 800 million, which represents 5% of the country’s gross domestic product (Weiss & Messerli, 2012), with gorilla trekking being the most important tourist activity (Adams & Infield, 2003).

A criticism of ecotourism is that the funds it generates do not diffuse to local communities, suggesting it does not create economic incentives for communities to protect biodiversity (Krüger, 2005; Sandbrook & Semple, 2006; Sandbrook, 2010). A survey of 16 protected forests in Africa considered all sources of income, including ecotourism, and demonstrated that a mean of only 4% of local communities derived financial benefit from the protected areas (Struhsaker et al., 2005). In the case of gorilla ecotourism in Uganda, in the mid 2000s the local community received c. USD 400,000 annually from park and tourism revenue (Archabald & Naughton-Treves, 2001; Sandbrook & Adams, 2012) but given that there are c. 160 people per km² in the vicinity of Bwindi Impenetrable National Park (321 km²; Sandbrook & Adams, 2012) the individual benefit is small. Royal Chitwan National Park in Nepal is visited by > 60,000 tourists each year but only 6% of local households earn money from ecotourism (Bookbinder et al., 1998). Near Kibale only 22% of adults are employed, 52% of which are employed as farm labourers, 23% as tea plantation workers and 13% in jobs related to the Park (reforestation, tourism, research, Uganda Wildlife Authority; MacKenzie, 2012). Kibale receives c. 7,700 visitors each year, primarily for chimpanzee trekking. This creates part-time employment in tourist facilities (UWA, 2009) for c. 250 people (MacKenzie, 2012) and generates annual income of c. USD 271,000 for Uganda Wildlife Authority. Given that there are c. 50,000 people living within 1 km of the Kibale boundary (Hartter, 2009), tourism provides direct income to c. 0.5% of the population. If this income was divided among communities adjacent to the Park it would amount to USD 1.08 per capita per year. Whether this amount of money is sufficient to foster a positive perception of Kibale National Park among local communities remains untested but it seems unlikely. By contrast, health centres are accessible to all community members within bicycle-riding distance and mobile clinics are accessible to everyone. The perceived value of treating a child suffering from malaria is considerable, although difficult to quantify.

Health clinics have attributes that may make them more stable than ecotourism ventures. Dependency on income from ecotourism can leave protected areas vulnerable to declines in tourist numbers (Sharpley, 2000; Lepp, 2008; Coria & Calfucura, 2012). For example, prior to the genocide in Rwanda in 1994 > 40,000 tourists visited the country each year; by 2002 tourist numbers had dropped to 8,000 (Grosspietsch, 2006). Such periods of unrest may trigger an increase in bushmeat hunting and other ecologically detrimental activities (Lindsey et al., 2011). Health centres may be a more reliable means of maintaining a positive relationship between communities and protected areas during periods of minor political unrest and public health crises because they are not dependent on foreign tourists. Furthermore, health clinics do not create financial...
dependency to the same degree as ecotourism. Although the closure of a health clinic near a protected area would remove a convenient source of health care, local people could still attend hospitals and clinics operated by the government. The provision of health care may improve the overall health of the community over time but it does not alter people’s lifestyles in the way ecotourism does, with people changing their behaviour to generate revenue by selling goods and commodities to tourists. When the provision of health care is coupled with health education the lessons learned may benefit local communities for generations.

Other approaches used to improve the lives of people living near protected areas include allowing extraction of non-timber forest products (NTFPs), providing primary education and establishing long-term research stations, under the untested assumption that positive conservation externalities will ensue. Allowing local communities to extract NTFPs is intended to provide revenue for communities adjacent to protected areas and ease tensions arising from restricted access, thus improving local perceptions of protected areas (Oates, 1999; Zarín et al., 2003; Ahenkan & Boon, 2011). The success of such programmes depends on the resources extracted and the density of the local population that benefits from them. When resources are of high value and the population density is relatively low such programmes can be successful (Lyons, 2000). However, when this is not the case programmes can become difficult to regulate, which can result in overexploitation and economic inequity (Oates, 1999; Struhsaker et al., 2005; Solomon, 2007; Solomon et al., 2012). Thus, in situations where an NTFP strategy is most likely to be successful (low human population density and valuable product) the provision of health care would not be an ideal strategy for promoting positive park–people relations because administering health services to a scattered population is difficult. However, health-care provision may be a more appropriate strategy when population density is high and therefore an NTFP strategy would benefit only a small proportion of the community and would be more likely to be abused (Mugisha & Jacobson, 2004; Struhsaker et al., 2005).

Education has been employed as a conservation strategy for decades, based on the idea that if communities can understand the value of a protected area they will not exploit its resources. However, studies in Africa have demonstrated that community outreach programmes designed to promote positive community attitudes through education are seldom associated with successful conservation outcomes (Struhsaker et al., 2005). A study in Uganda found no evidence that such programmes promoted positive community attitudes towards parks (Mugisha & Jacobson, 2004). However, there is a resurgence in the use of this approach (Padua, 2010; Savage et al., 2010) and its careful long-term evaluation (Jacobson, 2010; Kuhar et al., 2010), and some of the original problems (Struhsaker et al., 2005; Kasenene & Ross, 2008) are being addressed. Education is often targeted at the young, and therefore its effect may only become apparent after many years (Chapman et al., 2005; Struhsaker et al., 2005; Wrangham & Ross, 2008; Laurance et al., 2012). Research stations provide long-term employment, and the presence of researchers may act as a deterrent against illegal extraction of resources from the protected area. Research stations may also lead to other types of conservation efforts. For example, in Kibale researchers have established ecotourism sites, schools and health clinics. However, the presence of researchers, like tourists, can wax and wane with socioeconomic turmoil in the host country or in the home countries of researchers, who are dependent on grant funds.

Although it is not yet known whether and to what degree health centres have advantages over other approaches in promoting positive park–people relations (e.g. the provision of funds for health centres established by researchers will vary similarly to that described for research stations as they are similarly dependent on a continuous supply of funds from outside the host country), the provision of health care is complementary to, and does not compete with, these other endeavours. Health centres have the potential to add considerably to the arsenal of social programmes that can be used to address the challenge of protecting natural resources in poor countries. As forest resources in the tropics continue to decline, human populations continue to increase and infectious diseases continue to emerge, health centres become increasingly attractive tools for simultaneously improving health, well-being and conservation efforts.
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